

TERMINATION OF 4-FOLD CANONICAL FLIPS

OSAMU FUJINO

ABSTRACT. We prove the termination of 4-fold canonical flips.

This paper is a supplement of [KMM, Theorem 5-1-15] and [M, Main Theorem 2.1]. We prove that a sequence of 4-fold log flips for canonical pairs terminates after finitely many steps.

Let us recall the definition of *canonical flips*, which is slightly different from the usual one (cf. [S, (2.11) Adjoint Diagram]).

Definition 1 (Canonical flip). Let X be a normal projective variety and B an effective $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor such that the pair (X, B) is canonical, that is, $\text{discrep}(X, B) \geq 0$. Let $\phi : (X, B) \rightarrow Z$ be a small contraction corresponding to a $(K_X + B)$ -negative extremal face. If there exists a normal projective variety X^+ and a projective morphism $\phi^+ : X^+ \rightarrow Z$ such that

- (1) ϕ^+ is small;
- (2) $K_{X^+} + B^+$ is ϕ^+ -ample, where B^+ is the strict transform of B ,

then we call ϕ^+ the *canonical flip* or *log flip* of ϕ . We call the following diagram a *flipping diagram*:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X, B) & \dashrightarrow & (X^+, B^+) \\ \phi \searrow & & \swarrow \phi^+ \\ & Z & \end{array} .$$

The following is the main theorem of this paper.

Theorem 2 (Termination of 4-fold canonical flips). *Let X be a normal projective 4-fold and B an effective $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor such that (X, B) is canonical. Consider a sequence of log flips starting from $(X, B) = (X_0, B_0)$:*

$$(X_0, B_0) \dashrightarrow (X_1, B_1) \dashrightarrow (X_2, B_2) \dashrightarrow \cdots ,$$

where $\phi_i : X_i \rightarrow Z_i$ is a contraction and $\phi_i^+ : X_i^+ = X_{i+1} \rightarrow Z_i$ is the log flip. Then this sequence terminates after finitely many steps.

Date: 2003/1/15.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14E30; Secondary 14J35, 14E05.

Corollary 3 ([M, Main Theorem 2.1]). *Let X be a projective 4-fold with only terminal singularities and D an effective $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor. Then any sequence of D -flops is finite.*

We introduce a variant of *difficulty*. This is slightly different from [FA, Chapter 4]. It was inspired by [FA, 4.14 Remark]. Note that the notion of difficulty was first introduced by Shokurov in [S, (2.15) Definition].

Definition 4 (A weighted version of difficulty). Let (X, B) be a pair with only canonical singularities, where $B = \sum_{j=1}^l b_j B^j$ with $0 < b_1 < \dots < b_l \leq 1$ and B^j is a reduced divisor for every j . We note that B^j is not necessarily irreducible. We put $b_0 = 0$, and $S := \sum_{j \geq 0} b_j \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \subset \mathbb{Q}$. Note that $S = 0$ if $B = 0$.

We call a divisor E over X *essential* if E is exceptional over X and is not obtained from blowing up the generic point of a subvariety $W \subset B \subset X$ such that B and X are generically smooth along W (and thus only one of the irreducible components of $\sum_{j \geq 1} B^j$ contains W) and $\dim W = \dim X - 2$. We set

$$d_{S,b}(X, B) := \sum_{\xi \in S, \xi \geq b} \sharp\{E \mid E \text{ is essential and } a(E, X, B) < 1 - \xi\}.$$

Then $d_{S,b_j}(X, B)$ is finite by Lemma 5 below. Note that $\text{discrep}(X, B) \geq 0$ since (X, B) is canonical.

Lemma 5 ([FA, (4.12.2.1)]). *Let (X, B) be a klt pair. Then*

$$\sharp\{E \mid E \text{ is essential and } a(E, X, B) < \min\{1, 1 + \text{discrep}(X, B)\}\}$$

is finite.

Definition 6. Let $\varphi : (X, B) \dashrightarrow (X^+, B^+)$ be a canonical flip. We say that this flip is *of type* $(\dim A, \dim A^+)$, where A (resp. A^+) is the exceptional locus of $\phi : X \rightarrow Z$ (resp. $\phi^+ : X^+ \rightarrow Z$). We call A (resp. A^+) the *flipping* (resp. *flipped*) locus of φ . When $\dim X = 4$, the log flip is either of type $(1, 2)$, $(2, 2)$ or $(2, 1)$ by [KMM, Lemma 5-1-17].

Remark 7. In [KMM, §5-1], the variety is $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial and every flipping contraction is corresponding to a negative extremal ray. However, the above properties were not used in the proof of [KMM, Lemma 5-1-17]. Note that [KMM, Lemma 5-1-17] holds in more general setting. For the details, see the original article [KMM].

Lemma 8 (cf. [KM, Lemma 6.21]). *Let $\varphi : (X, \Delta) \dashrightarrow (X^+, \Delta^+)$ be a canonical flips of n -folds. Let $\Delta := \sum \delta_i \Delta_i$ be the irreducible decomposition and Δ^+ (resp. Δ_i^+) the strict transform of Δ (resp. Δ_i).*

Let F be an $(n-2)$ -dimensional irreducible component of A^+ , and E_F the exceptional divisor obtained by blowing up F near the generic point of F . Then X^+ is generically smooth along F and

$$0 \leq a(E_F, X, \Delta) < a(E_F, X^+, \Delta^+) = 1 - \sum \delta_i \text{mult}_F(\Delta_i^+),$$

where $\text{mult}_F(\Delta_i^+)$ is the multiplicity of Δ_i^+ along F .

Proof. The pair (X^+, Δ^+) is terminal near the generic point of F by the negativity lemma. Therefore, X^+ is generically smooth along F , and the rest is an obvious computation. $\square$

9. Let X be a projective variety. Let X^{an} be the underlying analytic space of X . Let $H_k^{BM}(X^{an})$ be the *Borel-Moore* homology. For the details, see [F, 19.1 Cycle Map]. Then there exists a cycle map;

$$cl : A_k(X) \longrightarrow H_{2k}^{BM}(X^{an}),$$

where $A_k(X)$ is the group generated by rational equivalence classes of k -dimensional cycles on X . For the details about $A_k(X)$, see [F, Chapter 1]. We note that the cycle map cl commutes with push-forward for proper morphisms, and with restriction to open subschemes. From now on, we omit the superscript *an* for simplicity.

The following is in the proof of [KMM, Theorem 5-1-15].

Lemma 10 (Log flips of type $(2, 1)$). *When the 4-dimensional log flip*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X, B) & \dashrightarrow & (X^+, B^+) \\ \phi \searrow & & \swarrow \phi^+ \\ & Z & \end{array}$$

is of type $(2, 1)$, we study the rank of the $\mathbb{Z}$ -module $cl(A_2(X))$, where $cl(A_2(X))$ is the image of the cycle map $cl : A_2(X) \longrightarrow H_4^{BM}(X)$. By the following commutative diagrams;

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A_2(A) & \longrightarrow & A_2(X) & \longrightarrow & A_2(X \setminus A) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow cl & & \downarrow cl & & \downarrow cl & & \\ H_4^{BM}(A) & \longrightarrow & H_4^{BM}(X) & \longrightarrow & H_4^{BM}(X \setminus A), & & \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_2(Z) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & A_2(Z \setminus \phi(A)) \\ \downarrow cl & & \downarrow cl \\ H_4^{BM}(Z) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & H_4^{BM}(Z \setminus \phi(A)), \end{array}$$

we have the surjective homomorphism;

$$cl(A_2(X)) \longrightarrow cl(A_2(Z)).$$

We note that $X \setminus A \simeq Z \setminus \phi(A)$ and [F, p.371 (6) and Lemma 19.1.1]. For any closed algebraic subvariety V on X of complex dimension 2, V is not numerically trivial since X is projective. Therefore, $cl(V) \neq 0$ in $cl(A_2(X))$ (see [F, Definition 19.1]). Thus the kernel of the surjection above is not zero. By the similar arguments, we obtain that

$$cl(A_2(X^+)) \simeq cl(A_2(Z)).$$

We note that A^+ is one-dimensional and $X^+ \setminus A^+ \simeq Z \setminus \phi^+(A^+)$. Therefore, since the rank $\text{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}} cl(A_2(X))$ is finite, we finally have the result

$$\text{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}} cl(A_2(X)) > \text{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}} cl(A_2(X^+)).$$

Let us start the proof of Theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem 2. Since $d_{S,b_l}(X, B)$ does not increase by log flips by the negativity lemma. Note that if E is exceptional and $a(E, X_i, B_i) < 1 - b_l$, then E is essential. If B_i^l , which is the strict transform of B^l on X_i , contains 2-dimensional flipped locus, then $d_{S,b_l}(X, B)$ decreases by easy computations (see Lemma 8). So, after finitely many flips, B_i^l does not contain 2-dimensional flipped locus. Thus, we can assume that B_i^l does not contain 2-dimensional flipped locus for every $i > 0$. Let $\overline{B}_i^l$ be the normalization of B_i^l . We consider a sequence of birational maps

$$\overline{B}_0^l \dashrightarrow \overline{B}_1^l \dashrightarrow \cdots.$$

By [M, Lemma 2.11], after finitely many flips, B_i^l does not contain 2-dimensional flipping locus. Thus, we can assume that B_i^l does not contain 2-dimensional flipping locus for every $i \geq 0$. In particular, $B_i^l \dashrightarrow B_{i+1}^l$ is an isomorphism in codimension one for every $i \geq 0$.

Next, we see $d_{S,b_{l-1}}(X, B)$. By the same argument as above, B_i^{l-1} does not contain 2-dimensional flipped locus after finitely many flips. Note that if E is essential over X_{i+1} and $a(E, X_{i+1}, B_{i+1}) < 1 - b_{l-1}$, then E is essential over X_i and $a(E, X_i, B_i) \leq a(E, X_{i+1}, B_{i+1})$. It is because $B_i^l \dashrightarrow B_{i+1}^l$ is an isomorphism in codimension one. By using [M, Lemma 2.11], we see that B_i^{l-1} does not contain 2-dimensional flipping locus after finitely many steps. Therefore, we can assume that $B_i^{l-1} \dashrightarrow B_{i+1}^{l-1}$ is an isomorphism in codimension one for every $i \geq 0$.

By repeating this argument, we can assume that $B_i^j \dashrightarrow B_{i+1}^j$ is an isomorphism in codimension one for every i, j .

If the log flip is of type (1, 2) or (2, 2), then $d_{S,b_0}(X, B) = d_{S,0}(X, B)$ decreases by Lemma 8. Therefore, we can assume that all the flips are of type (2, 1) after finitely many steps. This sequence terminates by Lemma 10. $\square$

Remark 11 (3-fold case). By using the weighted version of difficulty, we can easily prove the termination of 3-fold canonical flips without using $\mathbb{Q}$ -factoriality. This is [FA, 4.14 Remark].

Acknowledgments. I was partially supported by the Inoue Foundation for Science. I talked about this paper at RIMS in May 2002. I would like to thank Professors Shigefumi Mori, Shigeru Mukai, and Noboru Nakayama, who gave me some comments. I am also grateful to Mr. Kazushi Ueda, who answered my question about Borel-Moore homology.

REFERENCES

- [F] W. Fulton, *Intersection theory*, Second edition. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], **2**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
- [KMM] Y. Kawamata, K. Matsuda, and K. Matsuki, Introduction to the Minimal Model Problem, in *Algebraic Geometry, Sendai 1985*, Advanced Studies in Pure Math. **10**, (1987) Kinokuniya and North-Holland, 283–360.
- [KM] J. Kollár, S. Mori, *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, Vol. **134**, 1998.
- [FA] J. Kollár et al., *Flips and Abundance for algebraic threefolds*, Astérisque **211**, (1992).
- [M] K. Matsuki, Termination of flops for 4-folds, Amer.J.Math. **113** (1991), 835–859.
- [S] V. Shokurov, A nonvanishing theorem, (Russian) Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **49** (1985), no. 3, 635–651.

RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, KYOTO UNIVERSITY,
KYOTO 606-8502 JAPAN

E-mail address: fujino@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp